

The purpose of the document is to:

- a) describe the modes of submission available to candidates at RMIT,
- b) explain the criteria to be used when assessing the Masters by Research work and the recommendations available to you as an examiner; and
- c) provides guidance about the structure of your report.

Please complete your examination within six weeks of receiving this document.

### Section 1. Criteria for each mode of submission

At RMIT the Masters by research is a two-year, full-time (or part-time equivalent) degree of which two thirds are devoted to research. Candidates complete either a thesis or a project, with or without publications. Candidates who include published material in their work will complete a publication declaration form as part of their submission. The length and format of the thesis/dissertation should be consistent with the normal standards for the discipline/field.

#### Thesis

The thesis must be unified and coherent in content addressing a single, significant research question/theme.

#### Project

The project must also address a single, significant research question/theme, comprising the components below, and examiners should treat these components as integral parts of the whole project being examined.

The project will contain:

- i. the artefact(s) and /or body of work presented in an exhibition or performance (visual or sonic); or a visual/sonic record of the artefact(s) or body of work; and,
- ii. a dissertation which defines the purpose and theoretical basis of the work.

An oral presentation made by the candidate, may be agreed to by the candidate and their supervisors in certain disciplines. Supplementary information on project mode examination may be available for specific disciplines.

### Section 2. Criteria for examination and consideration of the award

Regardless of mode of submission, in accordance with the Australian Qualifications Framework<sup>1</sup>, for the award of a Masters by research the candidate must demonstrate:

- i. a comprehensive understanding of theoretical knowledge and critical reflection on theory and its application;
- ii. an ability to investigate, analyse and synthesise complex information, problems, concepts and theory and to apply established theories to different bodies of knowledge or practice;
- iii. an ability to generate and evaluate complex ideas and concepts at an abstract level;
- iv. the skills to design, use and evaluate research and research methods appropriately;
- v. the communication and technical skills to present a coherent and sustained argument that makes a contribution to knowledge;
- vi. an ethical approach and a high level of research integrity.

### Section 3. Examiner's report

In assessing the research, please complete the Examiner's report form - Masters by Research, and prepare a written report indicating whether the criteria in (i) – (vi) above have been satisfied and, if not, what amendments are necessary to reach this standard.

Please provide the grounds for your recommendation by detailing, as fully as possible, the strengths and weaknesses of the research. This feedback will be used by RMIT to advise the candidate on any revisions needed to improve the research

<sup>1</sup> Australian Quality Framework qualifications <http://www.aqf.edu.au/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/AQF-Addendum-2014.pdf>

#### Section 4. Examiner's recommendation

After examination the examiner shall make one of the following recommendations:

Examiner's Recommendation	Definition
<b>Passed (R1):</b>	The candidate should be awarded the degree with no requirements for amendments other than corrections of an editorial nature. Amendments, if any, to be made within four weeks of classification.
<b>Passed subject to specific, minor amendments (R2):</b>	The candidate should be awarded the degree subject to minor amendments, based on the examiners' specific recommendations. Recommended amendments should facilitate an improved presentation of the research and its conclusions. Amendments to be made within six weeks of classification.
<b>Revise and resubmit (R3):</b>	The candidate should <b>not yet</b> be awarded the degree. Substantial revisions and a re-examination are required before a pass can be considered. Recommendations from the examiner/s may include further research, rewriting, re-organisation, and/or re-conceptualisation of the research. Resubmission for re-examination to take place within 12 months of initial classification.
<b>Failed (R4):</b>	The candidate should not be awarded the degree. The research does not meet the criteria for the degree as specified by the University.

#### Section 5. Integrity of the examination process

During the examination process, there should not be any direct contact between an examiner and the candidate or supervisors. Only the Associate Deputy Vice-Chancellor Research Training & Development (ADVC-RT&D) (or nominee) may communicate with an examiner, and the thesis/project should be treated as a confidential document.

Where an oral presentation or exhibition forms part of the examination, examiners may meet each other and the candidate. This is to enable examiners to experience the examinable work in an appropriate format. No assessment of the work, or opinion about the work's assessment, may be expressed or discussed in this context.

All examiners are required to submit independent reports and should not consult other examiners other than in exceptional circumstances, either following the approval of, or at the request of, the ADVC-RT&D.

For examinations conducted by written review only, examiners' names will only be revealed to the candidate after the examination has concluded, if the examiner has given their approval.

#### Section 6. Classification of thesis/project

The examiners shall individually and independently assess the thesis/project, prepare a brief assessment report for the guidance of the candidate and make a recommendation to the ADVC-RT&D, RMIT.

The ADVC-RT&D will use the Examination classification schedule to determine the examination outcome based on the collective examiners' recommendations

If the thesis/project receives a final classification of *C3 Revise and Resubmit* (see section 4) all examiners of the work are asked if they are prepared to re-examine the revised thesis/project when it has been revised, within 12 months of the initial examination. The only recommendations available for re-examination are *Passed* or *Failed*. Examiners are asked to indicate their preparedness to re-examine a revised version of the thesis/project in the attached *Examiner's report form - Masters by Research*.

#### Section 7. Process

In order to be awarded the degree, any amendments or revisions made by the candidate must be approved by the Senior/Joint senior supervisor/s and Dean/Head of School or their delegate.