Spatial Resolution of Visual Stimuli in SSVEP-based Brain-Computer Interface

Jing Mu, David B. Grayden, Ying Tan, and Denny Oetomo

Abstract—Communicating spatial coordinates plays a crucial role in human-robot interactions, where a given target, object, or location needs to be localized. The steady-state visual evoked potential (SSVEP) is one of the most robustly detectable signals in electroencephalography (EEG)-based brain-computer interfaces (BCIs). However, the spatial resolution of the visual stimuli in a SSVEP-based BCI needs to be characterized for localization applications. In this study, we demonstrate that the influence of an adjacent stimulus attenuates to the baseline level when it is outside the paracentral region of human field of view (FOV) based on data collected from five subjects. This conservatively defines the spatial resolution in SSVEP. A potential lateral inhibition phenomenon was also observed when the two stimuli were immediately next to each other, which may reflect the center-surround structure of the receptive fields in visual cortex. Moreover, different frequency setups appear to affect the robustness of the SSVEP-based BCI and suggest that adjacent stimuli should be with frequencies that are more distinguishable visually.

I. INTRODUCTION

Detecting intended spatial coordinates from a human user plays an important role in the capability to interact with machines, robots, and advanced prostheses, where a given target, object, or location needs to be localized. Brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) based on electroencephalography (EEG) are widely used as a tool to detect human intention. Steady-state visual evoked potentials (SSVEP) are robust signals in BCIs [1]. The major advantages of SSVEP are the relatively high information transfer rate (ITR), high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), and minimal human training required [2], [3]. Currently, SSVEPs are widely used in BCI spellers and in triggering basic robotic commands.

To communicate an intended spatial location (spatial coordinates) through a BCI, it is necessary to characterize the spatial resolution that can be obtained between multiple SSVEP stimuli. In other words, it is important to know the proximity of two SSVEP stimuli, in the form of flashing lights of two distinct frequencies, that allows them to be distinguished or the amount of interference that one stimulus causes on another when both are in the field of view (FOV) of a subject.

Spatial resolution in the SSVEP visual stimuli has been a challenging problem and existing studies have circumvented this by placing the stimuli spatially distant from each other. The SSVEP-based BCI telephone keypad [4], with 12 blocks of stimuli on the screen, was developed with the spacing between the blocks designed to be much wider than the size of the blocks. The integrated version of the Bremen BCI speller, introduced in 2008 [5], navigated a cursor by five flashing blocks that were spread out to the corners and edges of the screen. Four light emitting diodes (LEDs) utilized for the control of a hand prosthesis [6] were spaced as far from each other as practical for the control of pronation, supination, and hand open/close. One LED was placed on the index finger, one on the little finger, and two on the forearm 7.5 cm apart. A brain-controlled wheelchair was developed [7] with four flashing strips indicating predefined destination positions and orientations. The four strips were spaced out onto the four edges of the screen. Two LEDs were placed on a hand orthosis for the subject for command of the orthosis [8], in which the two LEDs were placed at least 9 cm apart. A three-class SSVEP-based BCI for a meal assistance robot [9] determined target food holder position using three LED matrices that were placed next to the corresponding food holders and were clearly separated from each other.

Different approaches have been investigated to improve the accuracy of SSVEP detection [10], [11] and to improve the efficiency of visual stimulation [12], [13], [14], [15], [16]. As a rule-of-thumb, stimuli should be sufficiently separated spatially and should use as few visual stimulation units as possible. However, this strongly limits the number of stimulation units that can be used within a given area or workspace in the interface design, such as a computer screen or a table. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the limitations of spatial resolution in SSVEP-based BCIs to be able to extend the application of SSVEP-based BCIs to more complex tasks, such as serving as a target localization tool in a robotic arm reaching task.

In this research, we investigated the effect of the distance between two visual stimuli on the frequency responses of brain signals measured using EEG. Experiments were carried out with the visual stimuli placed at different distances from each other in horizontal and vertical directions. The results and conclusions from this paper can be used as a guideline for stimulus setup in SSVEP-based BCIs. Similar experimental protocol can be used to calibrate SSVEP-based BCIs when a large number of options needs to be presented to users.

II. METHODS

The aim of the study was to characterize the spatial resolution of SSVEP stimuli. An SSVEP stimulus was realized in the form of a 3 × 3 block of LEDs, flashed at a given frequency. The attenuation of the SSVEP signal obtained...
through EEG was recorded as one stimulus block was shifted with increasing distances along the plane normal to the subject’s visual line (see Figure 1a). This was done while the subject maintained their gaze at the stationary stimulus at the center of the plane.

A. Hardware Setup

The overall hardware setup of the experiment is shown in Figure 1. Subjects were asked to sit in front of a LED board that had 832 RGB LEDs formed into a plus (+) shape. The pattern measured 50 cm horizontally and vertically, made up of 56 LEDs in length and 8 LEDs wide. Each RGB LED was 5 mm × 5 mm in size and the gap between adjacent LEDs was 4 mm. The distance between the LED board and the subject was maintained at 80 cm with eye height at the middle of the board (Figure 1b). The top left LED among the four LEDs in the center of the board was assigned as the ‘Center Point’ (CP) and it was on the sagittal plane of the subject.

Electroencephalograms were recorded using a g.USBamp EEG system with g.SAHARA dry electrodes (g.tec medical engineering, Austria) and sampled at 512 Hz. A 50Hz notch filter was applied when collecting data (g.tec provided standard filter to remove the noise from the standard power supply frequency). In this experiment, 8 electrodes were placed according to the standard international 10-20 system. The selected EEG electrode locations were: Oz, O1, O2, POz, PO3, PO4, C1, and C2. All experiments were conducted in a Faraday shielded room in the Centre for Neural Engineering, The University of Melbourne.

B. Subjects

Five healthy subjects (two females and three males, aged 24-35 years) participated in the experiment. Subjects 2 and 4 wore glasses. No subjects had previous experience with EEG or brain-computer interfaces. This experiment was approved by the University of Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committee (Ethics ID: 1851283).

C. Experimental Protocol

In the experiment, two 3 × 3 LED blocks were flashed at different frequencies in red color (λ = 620 – 630 nm). One LED block was centered at the CP and is referred to as the “Fixed Block” (FB). The other LED block was presented at different locations along an axis, shifting further away from the FB as experiment progressed; we call this the “Shifting Block” (SB) (see Figure 1a). The experiment consisted of three sessions; in each session, all four directions (Up, Down, Left, and Right) were examined in four sub-sessions. There were 24 location steps presented in each direction, which are heretofore referred to as Step 1, Step 2, ..., Step 24.

The FB frequency was kept at 8 Hz for all sessions. The SB frequency was set to 10 Hz, 20 Hz, and 30 Hz in the three sessions, respectively. A one-minute break and a five-minute break were placed between the sub-sessions and sessions, respectively. The subjects were asked to keep silent and sit still during the trials. The subjects were asked to fixate on the FB during the experiments and the SB was shifted one row or column away from the FB every five seconds starting from the block immediately adjacent to the FB in the testing direction. The FB was turned on (not flashing) for ten seconds at the beginning of each trial to help subjects focus on the FB.

D. Data Analysis

As mentioned above, 8 electrodes were placed for the EEG measurements. However, as some subjects produced frequent limb motions during the trials, the measurements from C1 and C2 were discarded. Noise cancellation will be explained in Section III.

The data collected over the occipital lobe (Oz, O1, O2, POz, PO3, and PO4) were averaged and then a band-pass filter with frequencies 5 – 45 Hz was applied to the data using the MATLAB function “bandpass” with ‘ImpulseResponse’ set to ‘auto’, 0.85 ‘Steepness’, and 60 dB ‘StopbandAttenuation’. Fast Fourier transforms (FFTs) were performed on
the filtered data with a window of five seconds and 2560 samples of data (in accordance to the time of each Step described in Section II-C and the sampling rate), and the magnitudes at 8Hz (FB frequency) and the SB frequency (10, 20, or 30Hz) were extracted. The FFT magnitudes at each step were averaged over the four directions (Up, Down, Left, Right) within each session. Finally, these averaged FFT magnitudes were plotted against step index, which is also converted to the vision angle for the presentation of results.

III. RESULTS

In this section, results are presented and the procedure to produce the results is explained. The intermediate results from the 20Hz SB frequency session are shown as an example.

Figure 2 shows the averaged FFT magnitudes across four directions for each subject and plotted against step index and vision angle. From the top figure in Figure 2, it can be seen that the 8Hz FFT magnitudes for each subject are roughly constant with some fluctuations. In Steps 15-24 on the bottom figure in Figure 2, the FFT magnitudes are also nearly constant for each subject. By running the Jarque-Bera test with MATLAB command jbtest, we confirmed that the 8Hz data and 20Hz data between Steps 15-24 were normally distributed at a 5% significance level for each subject. The 8Hz values were likely to be constant because this is where the subjects fixated. The 20Hz values beyond Step 15 likely represented normal background EEG signals. Therefore, the following steps were taken to produce the normalized results as shown in Figure 3.

(i) Calculate the nominal magnitude at 8Hz by averaging over all steps for each subject.
(ii) Calculate the baseline magnitude at 20Hz by taking the average of Steps 15-24 for each subject.
(iii) Calculate the unbiased 20Hz data by subtracting the baseline magnitude from the data.
(iv) Calculate the unbiased 8Hz magnitudes by subtracting the baseline magnitude from the 8Hz nominal magnitude.
(v) Calculate the ratio by dividing the unbiased 20Hz data by the unbiased 8Hz magnitudes.

A. Results from 20Hz SB Frequency

The results in Figure 3a show a consistent decreasing trend from Step 2 onward, and settle to the baseline level after Step 5. The step distances can be standardized by calculating the vision angle between the center of FB and the edge of SB that is closest to FB using:

$$\theta = \arctan \left( \frac{d_{SB}}{d_{sub}} \right),$$ (1)

$$d_{SB} = \left( \frac{w_{FB}}{2} + S - \frac{1}{2} \right) d_{LED} - \frac{1}{2} w_{LED},$$ (2)

where $\theta$ is the vision angle, $d_{SB}$ is the distance between the center of FB and the close edge of SB, $d_{sub}$ is the distance between the subject and the LED board, $w_{FB}$ is the dimension of the FB, $S$ is the step index ($S = 1, \ldots, 24$), $d_{LED}$ is the distance between the centers of two adjacent LEDs, and $w_{LED}$ is the width of each LED.

Substituting $d_{sub} = 800 \text{ mm}$, $w_{FB} = 3$, $d_{LED} = 9 \text{ mm}$, $S = 5$, and $w_{LED} = 5 \text{ mm}$ into the equations, we get $\theta \approx 3.68^\circ$, which falls within but close to the boundary of the central and paracentral region (an 8° opening angle cone) of human field of view (FOV) [17]. Thus, the region of greatest attenuation of 20Hz is outside the central and paracentral region of the human FOV.

B. Results from 10 and 30Hz SB Frequencies

Experiments were also conducted with 10Hz and 30Hz SB frequencies. As shown in Figure 3b, the 30Hz SB frequency session produced a similar result to that observed in the 20Hz SB frequency session. Results from 10Hz session is not shown here because the signals collected contain too much noise and hardly provide any meaningful...
Fig. 3: The normalized results for SB at 20, and 30 Hz. The plots show the ratio between the magnitude of the unbiased data at SB frequency and the nominal magnitude at 8 Hz (FB frequency). (a) SB at 20 Hz. (b) SB at 30 Hz.

These variations between different SB frequencies indicates that the frequencies on the two adjacent visual stimuli should be designed so that they are visually distinguishable, and calibration might be required on different frequency settings. Further investigation of the use of other frequencies is warranted.

1In Figure 2 (top figure), subject 5 has a larger magnitude at Step 1 than Step 2, however, the magnitude at Step 1 ($1.4393 \times 10^{-5}$ V) is still lower than the nominal magnitude ($1.5122 \times 10^{-5}$ V).
V. Conclusion

In this study, we examined the spatial resolution of visual stimuli in SSVEP-based BCI by gradually moving a flashing block (SB) away from another flashing block (FB) at the fixation point. In general, the intermediate and conservative spatial resolution coincides with the central and paracentral region of human FOV. Consistent suppression at Step 1 (stimuli immediate adjacent) was found in most subjects at both FB and SB frequencies, which we hypothesize is due to the center-surround structure of visual neurons. The variation of the result between different SB frequencies could serve as a guide on the selection of frequencies for BCIs.

Some of the reasons behind the observed patterns are still not sufficiently clear and require further studies. Extended experiments should be done within the magnitude suppression region to investigate the detailed response curve. The effect of changing different frequencies on adjacent stimuli can also be further studied.
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