The aim of this study was to develop a set of QPIs specific to NETs that can be used to improve patient care in NZ, Canada and Australia. The expert group rated the final Round 2 indicators as appropriate importance. Each radial spoke represents a statement. Weighted averages for ratings ordered by importance and “Measurability” (blue line) are presented. Statements taken forward to Round 2 are shown by grey dots, and tended to be both important and measurable (>3 shaded in green).

The expert group rated the final Round 2 indicators as appropriate (green), uncertain (orange) or inappropriate (red). The light green shaded area highlights those indicators rated appropriate by more than 80% of the group, thus achieving consensus based on our definition.

**Outcomes and Future Work**

- Ten QPIs were selected using a consensus threshold of 80%, as utilised in a previous CommNETs Delphi process.
- These correspond to NET-specific factors such as proliferative index and differentiation; and generic cancer QPIs such as MDM review, staging, and survival.
- This project was supported by the NZ Ministry of Health. Future work will involve working with the Ministry’s Cancer Services to seek wider sector review, followed by national implementation.
- We propose that these QPIs are used to monitor and improve care for people with NETs in NZ, and to facilitate international comparison.

---

**Final consensus-derived NET QPIs**

1. Primary site reported
2. Proliferative index reported*
3. Distant metastases reported (M0/M1)
4. 5-year overall survival
5. 10-year overall survival
6. Differentiation reported*
7. Structured pathology report
8. MDM review
9. 5-year disease-free survival
10. 10-year disease-free survival

*This does not currently apply to pheochromocytoma, paraganglioma and medullary thyroid carcinoma.

---

**Methods and Results**

A literature search for NET-specific QPIs returned no relevant results, so the method was adjusted to include an initial structured brainstorming session using Nominal Group Technique (NGT). Candidate QPIs were then curated and organized into appropriateness statements (62 appropriateness statements and 44 sub-statements).